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Chapter 4: Discount rates and scarcity of capital

## The BIG Picture

- Discounting reflects the time value of money
- Also other components: premium for market risk, credit risk, liquidity risk
- Financial discount rates are used for FV and depend on
- supply and demand of funds in financial markets
- government policies + central banks setting ST interest rates
- Social discount rates are used for SV and EV
- Company's counterparties are societal stakeholders: employees, clients, suppliers, environment (= current + future generations)
- Big question: should current and future generations be treated equal?


## Demand and supply of financial funds

## Investor-savers

- Households
- Companies
- Governments



## User-spenders

- Households
- Companies
- Governments
$\square$ A large supply of funds relative to demand lowers the price or discount rate of financial capital
- Financial markets are influenced by
- Government policies: regulations to ensure a proper functioning of financial markets
- Central banks setting short-term interest rates


## Time value of money

- People prefer money today over money tomorrow due to inflation and opportunity costs
$\square$ The difference in value between money now and money in the future is called the time value of money
$\square$ The difference is calculated with a discount rate, which is the interest rate $r$ used to determine the present value (PV) of future cash flows
- The discount factor is the factor by which a future cash flow over $n$ periods must be multiplied to obtain the $P V$ :

$$
\text { discount factor }=\frac{1}{(1+r)^{n}}
$$

## Net Present Value (NPV)

- Net Present Value (NPV) is the present value of a stream of cash flows
- Example with a discount rate of $r=0.03=3 \%$
- Calculation for discount factor in $2024(n=2)$ : $1 /(1+0.03)^{2}=1 / 1.0609=0.943$

- $P V$ of cash flow in $2024=30 \times 0.943=28.3$

| Year | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flow | $-1,000$ | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 1,030 |
| Discount factor | 1 | 0.971 | 0.943 | 0.915 | 0.888 | 0.863 | 0.837 |
| PV | $-1,000$ | 29.1 | 28.3 | 27.5 | 26.7 | 25.9 | 862.6 |
| NPV | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Arbitrage and law of one price

$\square$ Arbitrage $=$ the buying and selling of 'equivalent' or 'similar' goods in different markets to benefit from price differences (exceeding transaction costs)

- Arbitrage opportunity $=$ situation in which it is possible to make a profit from an investment without taking risk ('free lunch')
- Arbitrage only works if the law of one price does not hold, which says that the same product should sell at the same price
- Finance predicts that arbitrage profits (NPVs) will often be zero: competition between investors will quickly result in the adjustment of prices of over- or underpriced securities


## Law of one price in Finance

- Finance predicts that arbitrage profits (NPVs) will often be zero: competition between investors will quickly result in the adjustment of prices of over- or under-priced securities
- Law of one price is underlying many calculations and valuations
-> two securities that generate the same payoff must cost the same
- Yields of bonds with same maturity, credit risk and liquidity risk (Ch8)
- Modigliani-Miller theorem on capital structure (Ch15)
- Options pricing - put-call parity (Ch19)
- Etc.


## Principal financial markets

$\square$ Money market - for short-term funds up to one year
$\square$ Bond markets - most important segment of the market for debt securities, with a maturity of more than one year
$\square$ Equity markets - companies issue equity to raise funds
$\square$ Derivatives market - financial instruments whose value is derived from the value of the underlying financial instruments
$\square$ Foreign exchange market - determines relative currency value

## Compounding

- Compounded interest is the interest received over the interest already stored in saving accounts

Value composition with compounding returns


Capital with and without compounding

|  | $2 \%$ not compounded  <br> Capital Return |  | $2 \%$ compounded  <br> Capital Return |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 30,000 | 600 | 30,600 | 600 |
| 2 | 30,000 | 600 | 31,212 | 612 |
| 3 | 30,000 | 600 | 31,836 | 624 |
| 4 | 30,000 | 600 | 32,473 | 637 |
| 5 | 30,000 | 600 | 33,122 | 649 |
| .. |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 30,000 | 600 | 79,164 | 1,552 |
| 50 | 30,000 | 600 | 80,748 | 1,583 |

Return from different compounding rates

| Annual | Years |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| return | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 |
| $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | 36,570 | 44,578 | 54,341 | 66,241 | 80,748 |
| $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | 44,407 | 65,734 | 97,302 | 144,031 | 213,201 |
| $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | 64,768 | 139,829 | 301,880 | 651,736 | $1,407,048$ |

## Perpetuities \& Annuities

- A perpetuity is a stream of regular and equal cash flows into infinity
- Formula: $P V=\frac{C F}{r}$
- At 3\%: $P V=\frac{C F}{r}=\frac{30}{0.03}=1,000$

- Law of one price holds: PV of perpetuity $(1,000)$ is equal to cost to create it $(1,000)$
- An annuity is a stream of equal cash flows paid at regular intervals, with an enddate $N$
- Formula: $P V=\frac{C F}{r} \cdot\left(1-\frac{1}{(1+r)^{N}}\right)$


## Opportunity cost of capital

- What discount rate should investors use when discounting their expected cash flows?
- The opportunity cost of capital is the best available return on an investment that has risk and conditions similar to the cash flows to be discounted
- There are many determinants of discount rates, split into:
- Components that drive government bond yields (benchmark rate)
- Components that drive the premium:
- corporate bond premium
- equity premium



## Benchmark - government bonds

- The highest quality government securities are considered 'risk-free'
- Market discount rates are the benchmarks against which discount rates are determined
$\square$ Yields of government bonds are influenced by expected short-term interest rates and the term premium
- Risk-averse investors demand a term premium (or risk premium) for investments in long-term bonds
- The term premium leads to a positive term spread, which is the difference between yields for bonds with longer maturity and yields for bonds with shorter maturity


## Government bond yield curve

$\square$ A positive term spread reflects what is often called a 'normal' yield curve
$\square$ A yield curve is a visualisation of the term structure, which is the relation between yields (in \%) and maturities (in years) of otherwise similar bonds


## Credit risk

$\square$ Apart from interest rate expectations and the term premium, credit risk and liquidity also influence government bond yields

- Credit risk premium is the spread between the yield of a particular bond and the yield of a bond with similar characteristics but without credit risk
- Rating agencies (Moody's, S\&P, Fitch) indicate issuers' credit risk by assigning them a credit rating, (AAA, $A+$, $B B B-$, etc.)
- Drivers of country credit rating differences: per capita income, GDP growth, inflation, external debt, economic development and default history


## Liquidity

- Liquidity is the ease with which an investor can sell or buy a bond immediately at a price close to the market price
$\square$ Liquidity premium is the spread between the yield of a bond with high liquidity and a similar bond with less liquidity
- Example
- A very liquid 1-yr government bond may trade at a yield of 4.17\%
- And a a less liquid 1-jr gov bond at a yield of 4.30\%
> Liquidity premium is then 13 basis points ( $4.30 \%-4.17 \%=0.13 \%$ )


## Corporate bonds - yield

- Default risk is the risk that a bond will not make its promised payments. This is higher for corporate bonds since, unlike governments, they do not have the option of raising taxes to meet their payment obligations
- Corporate yield spread is the difference between yields on corporate bonds and government bonds with the same maturity and rating
$\square$ The corporate yield spread can be calculated per rating class and per maturity

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ year | $\mathbf{5}$ year | $\mathbf{1 0}$ year | $\mathbf{2 0}$ year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AAA corporate bonds | $4.39 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $4.39 \%$ | $4.61 \%$ |
| AAA government bonds | $4.07 \%$ | $3.85 \%$ | $3.65 \%$ | $4.04 \%$ |
| AAA corporate yield spread | $\mathbf{0 . 3 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 7 \%}$ |

## Equities - market risk premium

- Shareholders are residual claimants as they are paid only after other stakeholders have been paid
$\square$ As a result, equity typically carries a higher risk than corporate bonds
$\square$ The equity risk premium is the expected excess return of equities over the risk-free rate
$\square$ The equity risk premium tends to be higher for smaller companies, more cyclical companies, and companies with weaker corporate governance


## Discounting social and environmental capital

$\square$ The counterparty of companies' social and environmental capital is the wider society, representing current and future generations. This raises two fundamental and ethical questions:
$\square$ Should current and future generations be treated equally?
$\square$ What is the appropriate discount rate for society (the social discount rate)?
$\square$ Equal treatment of current and future generations implies a zero time preference between current and future generations

## Discounting social and environmental capital

$\square$ Ramsay (1928) defined the discount rate $r^{s}$ for societal projects as:

$$
r^{s}=\delta+\eta \cdot g
$$

$\delta=$ time preference between current and future generations
$g=$ growth rate
$\eta$ = elasticity of marginal utility of consumption

|  | Social discount rate |  | $r^{s}=\delta+\eta \cdot g$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elasticity $\eta$ | with $g=1.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Author | Time preference $\delta$ | Discount rate $r^{s}$ |  |
| Cline (1992) | $0 \%$ | 1.5 | $1.95 \%$ |
| Nordhaus (1994) | $3 \%$ | 1 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Stern (2006) | $0.1 \%$ | 1 | $1.4 \%$ |

- Dasgupta (2021) finds that the vast majority of economists find a social discount rate of 1 to $3 \%$ appropriate for long-run public projects
- Nordhaus (1994) with $4.3 \%$ is the exception


## Discounting integrated capital

- We assume a social discount rate of 2\% (middle of Dasgupta's $1 \%$ to $3 \%$ range)

Financial balance sheet for a standard company

|  | Value | Discounted at |  |  | Value | Discounted at |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| F net operating assets | 100 | $8.0 \%$ | F debt | 20 | $4.0 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | F equity |  | 80 | $9.0 \%$ |
|  | 100 | $8.0 \%$ | F capital | 100 | $8.0 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Cost of capital $=(20 / 100) \times 4 \%+$ (80/100) $\times 9 \%=8 \%$

Integrated balance sheet for Company A with positive net assets on environmental value (EV)

|  |  | Value | Discounted at |  | Value | Discounted at |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| F net operating assets | 100 | $8.0 \%$ | F debt | 20 | $4.0 \%$ |  |
| E net assets | 20 | $2.0 \%$ | F equity | 80 | $9.0 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | E equity | 20 | $2.0 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Integrated capital | 120 | $7.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Integrated cost of capital $=(20 / 120)$ x $4 \%+(80 / 120) \times 9 \%+(20 / 120) x$ $2 \%=7 \%$

Integrated balance sheet for Company B with negative net assets on environmental value (EV)

|  | Value | Discounted at |  | Value | Discounted at |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| F net operating assets | 100 | $8.0 \%$ | F debt | 20 | $4.0 \%$ |  |
| E net assets | -20 | $2.0 \%$ | F equity | E equity | 80 | $9.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  | Integrated capital | -20 | $2.0 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 80 | $9.5 \%$ | 80 | $9.5 \%$ |  |

- Integrated cost of capital $=(20 / 80)$ x $4 \%+(80 / 80) \times 9 \%-(20 / 80) \times 2 \%$ $=9.5 \%$


## Internalisation

$\square$ Similar starting financial balance can be different after (the anticipation of) internalisation of social and environmental externalities
$\square$ The empirical prediction is:

- Companies with large social and environmental liabilities will have a higher cost of integrated capital
- Companies with social and environmental assets will enjoy a lower cost of integrated capital
$\square$ The risk premium will rise when the risk of internalisation rises


## Conclusions

$\square$ Present values and discount rates are needed when considering the future in investment decisions
$\square$ The counterparty of companies' social and environmental capital is the wider society, representing current and future generations
$\square$ An equal treatment of current and future generations implies a low social discount rate

- Larger environmental and social liabilities raise the cost of integrated capital, while environmental and social assets lower the cost of integrated capital

