## CORPORATE FINANCE FOR LONG-TERM VALUE

Chapter 8: Valuing bonds

## The BIG Picture

Corporate bonds are a key financing tool for companies

## Traditional valuation

$\square$ Bond investors are more focused on downside protection
$\square$ Government bond yield (benchmark) + spread for credit \& liquidity risk = corporate bond yield

## New valuation

- Integrate social and environmental factors into credit risk
- Emergence of green bonds and sustainability-linked bonds


## The bond market

$\square$ Bonds are certificates of debt that promise payment of the borrowed amount plus interest by a specified future date

- Bonds are issued by:
- A government
- A company
- A financial institution

| Type of securities | Outstanding (in trillions of USD) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equity markets | $\mathbf{1 1 2 . 1}$ |  |  |
| - Public equity |  | 105.8 |  |
| - Private equity | $\mathbf{1 2 3 . 4}$ |  |  |
| Bond markets |  | 62.8 |  |
| - Government bonds | 60.6 |  |  |
| - Corporate bonds |  | 17.0 |  |
| - Issued by companies |  | 43.6 |  |

Sources: SIFMA (2021); McKinsey (2022); BIS debt securities statistics.

## Bond payments

$\square$ A bond certificate indicates the amounts and dates of all payments (principal + interest) to be made
$\square$ The maturity date of the bond is the final repayment date, and the time until the maturity date is the term of the bond

- Two types of payments made on a bond:
- Promised periodic interest payments, called coupons
- Principal / face value of the bond, to be paid at maturity


## Types of bonds

- Government / sovereign bonds are issued by national governments (countries)
- Corporate bonds are issued by companies or financial institutions
- Secured bonds contain assets as collateral (i.e. mortgage bonds)
- Unsecured bonds have lower seniority / priority



## Bond valuation

$\square$ Bond prices result from discounting promised cash flows

- The price value of a coupon bond $P$ equals the present value of its coupons plus the present value of the face value $F V$ with maturity $N$

$$
P=\frac{C P N}{\left(1+Y T M_{1}\right)}+\frac{C P N}{\left(1+Y T M_{2}\right)^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{C P N+F V}{\left(1+Y T M_{N}\right)^{N}}
$$

$Y T M=$ the yield to maturity of a zero-coupon bond with the same maturity
$C P N=$ the coupon payment, determined by the annual coupon rate $A C R$ and the number of

$$
\text { coupon payments per year } N r: C P N=\frac{A C R * F V}{N r}
$$

## Bond valuation

## Example

- Coupon payment (CPN): \$30
- Annual coupon rate $(A C R)$ : 6\%
$\square$ Number of coupon payments (Nr): 2

Solution

$$
C P N=\frac{A C R * F V}{N r}=\$ 30=\frac{6 \% * \$ 1,000}{2}
$$

coupon of $\$ 30$ is paid every 6 months
remember face value $(F V)=\$ 1,000$

## Bond valuation

- The coupon payments form a stream of equal cash flows paid at regular intervals, this equals an annuity
$\square$ The present value of a bond becomes the sum of the 'coupon annuity' and the face value
$\square$ The yield to maturity (YTM) or yield $(y)$ is the discount rate that sets the present value of payments equal to its current market price
$\square$ Therefore, the price of a bond with maturity $N$ is:

$$
P=C P N * \frac{1}{y}\left(1-\frac{1}{(1+y)^{N}}\right)+\frac{F V}{(1+y)^{N}}
$$

## Bond valuation

$\square$ The relationship between bond yields and bond prices is maintained through market forces:

- As interest rates and bond yields rise, bond prices fall
- And vice versa: As bond yields fall, bond prices increase
- This means bonds can trade at:
- A premium - a price greater than face value
- A discount - a price lower than face value
- Par - a price equal to face value $\leftarrow$ this is rare!


## Zero-coupon bonds

- The price of a zero-coupon bond is simply the present value of the face value: $P=\frac{F V}{\left(1+Y T M_{N}\right)^{N}}$
$\square$ The yield to maturity of a zero-coupon government bond is used to calculate the risk-free rate


## Interest rate changes

$\square$ As interest rates change, bond prices move along with them

- The effect of interest rate changes is larger for bonds with longer terms
- The duration of a bond is the sensitivity of a bond's price to changes in interest rates, and the weighted average of the time-length of cash payments
$\square$ The time-length is the number of future years $n=1,2,3, \ldots$, until maturity $N$
- Duration $=1 * \frac{P V\left(C F_{1}\right)}{P V}+2 * \frac{P V\left(C F_{2}\right)}{P V}+\cdots+N * \frac{P V\left(C F_{N}\right)}{P V}$
$\square$ The weight for each year is the present value $P V\left(C F_{n}\right)$ divided by the total present value $P V$


## Duration

- Calculating the duration of 5\% six-year bond with a YTM of 4\%

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 |  |  |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| Cash flow | $€ 50$ | $€ 50$ | $€ 50$ | $€ 50$ | $€ 50$ | $€ 1,050$ |  |  |
| Discount factor | 0.962 | 0.925 | 0.889 | 0.855 | 0.822 | 0.790 |  |  |
| PV $\left(C_{n}\right)$ at 4\% | $€ 48.1$ | $€ 46.2$ | $€ 44.4$ | $€ 42.7$ | $€ 41.1$ | $€ 829.8$ | Total PV $=€ 1,057.7$ |  |
| Fraction of total <br> value | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 8 5}$ | Total = | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |
| Year * fraction of <br> total value | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 7 0 8}$ | Total duration $=$ | $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ |

- Duration ( 5.3 years) is typically close to maturity ( 6 years), because of large weight of face value
- Duration is good measure of interest rate risk of bond, where higher duration reflects higher interest rate risk


## Term structure of interest rates

- The term structure of interest rates (also called yield curve) is the array of yields on bonds with different terms to maturity
- To derive a 10-year yield curve, you need the YTM $_{n}$ for year 1, 2, $\ldots, 10$
$\square$ The yields can be calculated using the formula for zero-coupon bonds:

$$
P=\frac{F V}{\left(1+Y T M_{n}\right)^{n}}
$$

$\square$ The law of one price can be used to calculate the yields on coupon bonds, since similar products should sell at the same price
$\square$ If they don't sell at the same price, arbitrage makes differences disappear

## Government bond yield

Germany Yield Curve - 6 Oct 2022
Germany Government Bonds
3\%


Government bonds are the safest and most liquid bonds
$\square$ serve as benchmark

- risk-free rate


## Yields on coupon bonds with the same maturity

|  | Year (n) |  |  |  | Bond price (P) | Yield (y) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maturity | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| Zero-coupon yield | 2\% | 3\% | 4\% | 5\% |  |  |
| Discount factor | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.89 | 0.82 |  |  |
| Bond A (4\% coupon) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payment | $€ 40$ | $€ 40$ | $€ 40$ | € 1,040 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PV}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ | € 39.22 | € 37.70 | € 35.56 | € 855.61 | $€ 968.09$ | 4.90\% |
| Bond B (6\% coupon) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payment | € 60 | $€ 60$ | $€ 60$ | $€ 1060$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PV}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ | $€ 58.82$ | € 56.56 | € 53.34 | € 872.06 | € 1,040.78 | 4.85\% |
| Bond C (0\% coupon) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payment | $€ 0$ | $€ 0$ | $€ 0$ | € 1,000 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PV}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ | € 0 | € 0 | € 0 | € 822.70 | € 822.70 | 5.00\% |

Bonds differ in terms of coupon rate, but have the same maturity

## Yields on coupon bonds with different maturities

|  | Year (n) |  |  |  | Bond price (P) | Yield (y) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maturity | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| Zero-coupon yield | 2\% | 3\% | 4\% | 5\% |  |  |
| Discount factor | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.89 | 0.82 |  |  |
| Bond D (6\% coupon) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payment | $€ 60$ | € 1,060 |  |  |  |  |
| PV(CF ${ }_{n}$ ) | € 58.82 | € 999.15 |  |  | € 1,057.98 | 2.97\% |
| Bond E (6\% coupon) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payment | $€ 60$ | $€ 60$ | € 1060 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PV}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ | € 58.82 | € 56.56 | € 942.34 |  | € 1,057.72 | 3.92\% |
| Bond F (6\% coupon) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payment | $€ 60$ | $€ 60$ | $€ 60$ | $€ 1060$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PV}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ | € 58.82 | € 56.56 | € 53.34 | € 872.06 | € 1,040.78 | 4.85\% |

Bonds have the same coupon rate, but differ in terms of maturity

## Term structure

$\square$ Since bonds with higher duration carry more interest rate risk, the yield curve is typically upward sloping
$\square$ This leads to a positive term spread, which is the spread of yields for bonds with longer maturity over bonds with shorter maturity
$\square$ This has several explanations:

- The belief that short-term rates will be higher in the future
- Higher exposure of longer-term bonds to changes in interest rates
- Risk of higher inflation in the future


## Inflation

$\square$ Inflation, $i_{i, t}=\frac{\left(C P I_{i, t}-C P I_{i, t-1}\right)}{C P I_{i, t-1}}$, is the realised consumer price index (CPI) inflation rate given country $i$ in year $t$
$\square$ The real rate of return $r_{r}$ is calculated as $r_{r}=\frac{1+r}{1+i}-1$
$\square$ We can use the approximation for real interest rates in the form of nominal return minus inflation $r_{r} \approx r-i$ in low inflation countries

- For high inflation countries, use the full formula, since larger numbers result in larger deviations


## Drivers of yields on government bonds

$\square$ Cantor and Packer (1996) find that sovereign borrowing costs can be explained by:

- Per capita income
- External debt
- GDP growth
- Inflation
- Level of economic development
- Default history
- Government bonds are typically bought by institutional investors seeking a relatively safe investment
$\square$ Financial contagion is the spread of market disturbances from one market or country to other markets or countries
- Example: European Sovereign Debt Crisis, originating from Greece in 2009


## Government bond yields as risk-free rates

- The German bond yield is most creditworthy and can be used as the Euro's risk-free rate

$\square$ U.S. government bonds (also called Treasuries) serve as the risk-free rate for the US dollar


## Drivers of yields on corporate bonds

$\square$ Compared to government bonds, corporate bonds tend to carry more serious default and liquidity risks

- Due to lower trading frequencies, higher transaction costs and smaller sizes
- Due to the risk of default, the bond's expected return (equal to the firm's cost of capital) is less than the yield to maturity YTM



## Drivers of yields on corporate bonds

$\square$ The yield spread is the difference between yields of corporate bonds and yields of government bonds
$\square$ The higher the default risk, the larger the spread


| Maturity | $\mathbf{1}$ year | 5 year | 10 year | 20 year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AAA corporate bonds | $4.39 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $4.39 \%$ | $4.61 \%$ |
| A corporate bonds | $4.68 \%$ | $4.66 \%$ | $4.92 \%$ | $5.26 \%$ |
| AAA Treasuries | $4.07 \%$ | $3.85 \%$ | $3.65 \%$ | $4.04 \%$ |
| AAA corporate yield spread | $\mathbf{0 . 3 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 7 \%}$ |
| A corporate yield spread | $\mathbf{0 . 6 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 2 \%}$ |

[^0]
## Credit risk

$\square$ Credit risk refers to the risk of default of the entity issuing a bond
$\square$ The expected return on a bond is different from the promised return, as some issuers default on their bond
$\square$ The expected return on debt $r_{D}$ is

$$
E[y]=(1-P D) \cdot y+P D \cdot(y-L G D)=y-P D \cdot L G D=r_{D}
$$

$P D=$ the probability of default
$L G D=$ loss given default (the fraction of the principal and interest lost in case of default)

## Credit risk - example

$\square$ The expected return on debt $r_{D}$ is

$$
E[y]=(1-P D) \cdot y+P D \cdot(y-L G D)=y-P D \cdot L G D=r_{D}
$$

- Example: assume a promised yield $y$ of $6 \%$, a probability of default $P D$ of $4 \%$ and a loss given default LGD of 60\%
$\square$ What is the expected return on debt $r_{D}$ ?
$\square$ Answer: $r_{D}=y-P D \cdot L G D=6 \%-4 \% * 0.60=3.6 \%$


## Credit risk

$\square$ The expected credit losses $E C L$ are calculated by:

$$
E C L=E A D \cdot P D \cdot L G D
$$

$E A D=$ exposure at default

- The credit risk premium CRP is the investor's reward for risk taking, and is the difference between the expected return on a bond $E[y]$ and the risk-free rate $r_{f}$ :

$$
C R P=E[y]-r_{f}
$$

## Yield, credit and liquidity spreads

Yield spread is difference between promised yield and risk-free (government) yield

- The liquidity spread covers:
- The expected liquidity costs
- The liquidity risk premium
- The credit spread covers:
- The expected credit losses $E C L$
- The credit risk premium $C R P$

Corporate bond yield


## Be very precise on credit definitions

To recap on credit (ignoring liquidity spread)

- The credit spread covers:
- Expected credit losses reflects promised yield minus expected yield: $E C L=y-E[y]$
- Credit risk premium is reward for risk-taking:

$$
C R P=E[y]-r_{f}
$$

- Check: credit spread is $E C L+C R P$->
$y-E[y]+E[y]-r_{f} \quad->\quad y-r_{f}$
promised yield - risk free rate

Corporate bond yield


## Example

## Example

- One-year corporate bond with yield $7.5 \%$, risk of default 4\%, loss given default 60\%
- Calculate expected credit losses and credit risk premium, given government bond yields 3\%

Solution:

1. Expected yield: $E[y]=r_{D}=7.5 \%-4 \% * 0.60=5.1 \%$
2. Expected credit losses: $E C L=y-E[y]=7.5 \%-5.1 \%=2.4 \%$
3. Credit risk premium : $C R P=E[y]-r_{f}=5.1 \%-3 \%=2.1 \%$
4. Check: credit spread is difference between corporate yield (7.5\%) and government yield (3\%)
-> ECL + CRP -> $2.4 \%+2.1 \%=4.5 \%$

## Corporate bonds during times of crises

$\square$ During crises, credit spreads can jump due to higher (perceived) risk of default and/or investor's 'flight to safety'

- During the 2009 global financial crisis, spreads increased considerably:


Source: Bloomberg

## Credit ratings

| Rating agency | Moody's | Standard \& Poor's and Fitch | Long-term average default rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of bonds | Investment grade bonds |  |  |
|  | Aaa | AAA | 0.00\% |
|  | Aa | AA | 0.02\% |
|  | A | A | 0.05\% |
|  | Baa | BBB | 0.16\% |
| Type of bonds | Junk | eld bonds |  |
|  | Ba | BB | 0.61\% |
|  | B | B | 3.33\% |
|  | Caa | CCC | $\square$ |
|  | Ca | CC | - 27.08\% |
|  | C | C | $\checkmark$ |

## Agency costs

$\square$ Owning the equity of a company is like having the right to buy the company (an option) paying the face value of debt to the bondholders

- The more debt there is, the riskier that right becomes (Merton, 1974)
- A benefit for bondholders is that they get paid back first in case of default
- Equity holders benefit from volatility (risk), while bondholders suffer from volatility or uncertainty
- Myers' (1977) 'debt overhang' problem: if management is aligned with equity holders, it will only attract new capital for projects with high enough returns to leave a residual return for shareholders as well


## Liquidity risk

$\square$ Bonds also face liquidity risk, which is the risk that bonds cannot be sold swiftly
$\square$ Liquidity is the ease with which an investor can sell or buy a bond
$\square$ The liquidity spread is the spread between the yield of a bond with high liquidity and a similar bond with less liquidity
$\square$ The higher liquidity risk of corporate bonds stems from lower trading frequencies and higher transaction costs

## Integrating sustainability into bond valuation

- Sustainability issues include value relevant issues (inefficiencies) that are not yet properly priced
- Compared to equity, the focus in fixed income valuation is much more on risk than on opportunities
- Environmental and social exposures can have effects on performance by generating risks that may materialise in future scenarios
- Volkswagen credit default swap (CDS) spread went from 75.5 basis points (bp) to 299.5 after Dieselgate scandal in 2015
- Russian CDS spread went from 200-300bp to 600bp after seizing Crimea from Ukraine in 2014, while Ukrainian CDS spread rose to over 5,000bp


## From sustainability to credit risk



- Climate change
- Biodiversity
- Energy management
- Pollution
- Human rights
- Health \& safety
- Gender gap
- Etc.
- Cash reserves
- Profitability
- Productivity
- Competitive advantage
- Cost of capital
- Leverage
- Intangibles
- Etc.
- Credit ratings
- CDS spreads
- Bond yields and prices
- Volatility
- Default probability
- Breach of covenants
- Etc.


## Integrating sustainability into bond valuation

$\square$ Credit risk assessment models estimate the probability of default $P D$ and the loss given default $L G D$ on the basis of historical data at industry and company level

- Integrating sustainability is challenging due to its forward-looking nature
$\square$ Factors to include in credit risk analysis:
- The prospect of internalisation of social and environmental factors - companies that internalise factors can reduce credit risk
- The company's capability to adapt to a sustainable world - adaptable companies have a reduced probability of default and loss given default


## Altman Z-score

- The Altman Z-score is a simple method to incorporate sustainability into credit risk assessment (Altman, 2018)
- Based on four factors:

1. Working capital: $x_{1}=\frac{\text { current assets }- \text { current liabilities }}{\text { total assets }}$
2. Retained earnings: $x_{2}=\frac{\text { retained earnings }}{\text { total assets }}$
3. EBIT: $x_{3}=\frac{\text { earnings before interest and taxes }}{\text { total assets }}$
4. Equity: $x_{4}=\frac{\text { book value of equity }}{\text { total liabilities }}$

Estimate the impact of sustainability on these four factors

## Altman Z-score

$\square$ Z-score formula:

$$
Z=3.25+6.56 \cdot x_{1}+3.26 \cdot x_{2}+6.72 \cdot x_{3}+1.05 \cdot x_{4}
$$

$\square$ The zones of discrimination:

- Safe zone: $Z>5.85$ - company does not go bankrupt
- Grey zone: $4.35<Z<5.85$ - company is at risk of bankruptcy
- Distress zone: $Z<4.35$ - company are (or will be) bankrupt


## Evonik's Z-score

## Problem

In 2020, Evonik, a German specialty chemicals company with a large focus on sustainability, had the following current and projected future profile:

| Factor | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Working capital | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Retained earnings | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.43 |
| EBIT | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| Equity | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 |

What is the impact of Evonik's sustainability strategy on its default risk?

## Evonik's Z-score

Solution $\quad Z-$ score $=3.25+6.56 \cdot x_{1}+3.26 \cdot x_{2}+6.72 \cdot x_{3}+1.05 \cdot x_{4}$

| Factor | Weight | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant | 1.00 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 3.25 |
| Working capital | 6.56 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Retained earnings | 3.26 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.43 |
| EBIT | 6.72 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| Equity | 1.05 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| Z-score |  | $\mathbf{5 . 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 2 5}$ |

Safe zone: $Z>5.85$
Grey zone: $4.35<Z<5.85$
Distress zone: $Z<4.35$

Evonik's Z-score of 5.66 in 2020 indicates the company is in the grey zone
The improvement in 2021 and 2022 means Evonik moves to the safe zone

## Integrated value calculation

- Graph shows IV and its components: FV, EV, SV
$\square$ High debt and negative values of $S$ and $E$ raise risk of both debt and equity
$\square S$ and $E$ factors can be internalised and spill over into financial value




## Case-studies integrated value calculation

Case-studies integrated value

- Ch6-7 - project valuation
- Ch11 - company valuation Inditex
- Make DCF for enterprise value FV
- Make DCF for SV + EV
- Integrate numbers

| Inditex IV calculation | Value <br> (Euro billions) |
| :--- | :---: |
| FV (enterprise value) | 79 |
| Positive SV | 283 |
| Negative SV | -137 |
| Negative EV | -183 |
| IV (integrated value) | 42 |

- Ch18 - attempted take-over of Unilever by Kraft Heinz


## Green bonds

- The purpose of green bonds is to finance environmentally friendly (green) projects
- The green bond market has grown exponentially, reaching a global annual issuance of \$520 billion in 2021
- Issuers include:
- Supranationals (i.e., World Bank, IMF, EIB)
- Agencies
- Governments
- Municipalities
- Corporates
- Financial institutions

Market value of issuance per year, US\$ billions


## Green bonds

- Criteria for green bonds, as set out by ICMA (2021):

1. Use of proceeds: proceeds are exclusively for green projects, which should be appropriately described in the legal documentation accompanying the security
2. Process of project evaluation and selection: the issuer should clearly communicate to investors:

- What the environmental objectives are
- The process by which the issuer determines how the project fits within eligible green project categories
- The related eligibility criteria

3. Management of proceeds: the net proceeds of the green bond should be credited to a subaccount, and subsequently tracked and verified
4. Reporting: mandatory reporting on the use of the proceeds

## EU Taxonomy for sustainable activities

- The EU Green Bond Standard specifies that green products should contribute to at least one of six environmental objectives:

1. Climate change mitigation
2. Climate change adaptation
3. Sustainable use and protection of water and marine resources
4. Transition to a circular economy
5. Pollution prevention and control
6. Protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems
$\square$ A green project should not undermine any of the objectives

- The EU Green Bond Standard also requires verification of the allocation of the proceeds to green projects by an external party


## Green bonds

$\square$ Sustainable investors are prepared to pay a green bond premium, resulting in a lower yield - known as the 'clientele effect'
$\square$ Green bond premium is the difference in yield between green bonds and perfectly matched reference bonds
$\square$ Green bond premium typically ranges from 0 to 20bp and averages around 5bp
$\square$ Benefits for issuers is partly offset by higher issuing and reporting costs, also estimated around 5bp per year
> Green bonds is more about signaling greenness, than saving on borrowing costs

## Social bonds

$\square$ Social bonds need to provide clear social benefits

- They are a payment by results contract where an organization (with a social purpose) agrees to deliver outcome on a social project
- If the objectives are not reached, investors do not receive a return nor repayment of the principal
- Social project categories include, but are not limited to:
- Affordable basic infrastructure
- Access to essential services
- Affordable housing
- Employment generation
- Food security
- Socioeconomic advancement
- Empowerment


## Sustainability-linked bonds

- Sustainability-linked bonds can be used for the issuer's general purposes
- They incorporate forward-looking sustainability key performance indicators (KPIs) and sustainable performance targets -> expected to be way forward
- Improvement in KPIs leads to lower interest rate payments (i.e. a lower yield)

| Label | Format |
| :--- | :--- |
| Green bonds | Use of proceeds |
| Social bonds | Use of proceeds |
| Sustainability bonds | Use of proceeds |
| Sustainability-linked bonds | Entity KPI-linked |

## Conclusions

$\square$ The pricing of bonds is relevant for corporate finance for two reasons:

- The yield on government bonds serves as the risk-free rate
- Companies issue bonds to finance their operations
$\square$ Bond markets are bigger than stock markets, with institutional investors typically holding more bonds than equity
- Companies that can better adapt their business model face a lower credit risk
$\square$ There is innovation in the form of green bonds and social bonds to cater for sustainable investment projects of governments and companies


[^0]:    Source: Bloomberg, as per November 2022

